Postal Regulatory Commission Submitted 6/27/2014 8:00:00 AM Filing ID: 89939 Accepted 6/27/2014

Before the POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001

Competitive Product Prices
Priority Mail Contract 33 (MC2011-13)
Negotiated Service Agreement

Docket No. CP2011-49

PUBLIC REPRESENTATIVE COMMENTS IN RESPONSE TO UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE REQUEST TO ADD PRIORITY MAIL CONTRACT 33 TO THE COMPETITIVE PRODUCTS LIST

(June 27, 2014)

## Introduction

In response to Order No. 2098,<sup>1</sup> the Public Representative hereby comments on the June 19, 2014, Postal Service request to amend Priority Mail Contract 33.<sup>2</sup>

Commission Order No. 2098 established June 30, 2014, as the deadline for filing comments in this docket.

## Discussion

The Postal Service requests that amendments to the Priority Mail Contract 33 be approved. The parties to the contract request approval to delete the existing Section I.C. and replace it with a new Section I.C. that indicates the customer is to utilize either PC Postage or eVS to manifest Contract Packages. Additionally, for Section I.D., the parties request to change the header title for Table D and update the prices that were effective as of January 26, 2014. Id., Attachment A at 1. If the Commission approves

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Notice and Order Concerning Amendment to Priority Mail Contract 33 Negotiated Service Agreement, June 23, 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Notice of the United States Postal Service of Amendment to Priority Mail Contract 33, June 29, 2014. (Request)

Docket No. CP2011-49

-2-

the changes, the Postal Service proposes to implement the amendments one business

day following the day that the Commission completes its review of the filing.

The Postal Service indicates that the amendments will not affect the cost

coverage of the Priority Mail Contract 33. The Postal Service asserts that the

supporting financial documentation and financial certification initially provided in Docket

No. CP2011-49, December 17, 2010, remains applicable.

Conclusion

The Public Representative agrees that the changes to Section I.C. and the

header title of Table D in Section I.D. appear minor and immaterial to the financial

performance of the contract. However, in supplying updated prices for Contract 33, it

would have been helpful if the Postal Service had provided an updated financial

certification, rather than relying on a certification that is approximately four years old.

The Public Representative respectfully submits the preceding Comments for the

Commission's consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Pamela A. Thompson

Public Representative

901 New York Ave., NW Suite 200 Washington, D.C. 20268-0001 (202) 789-6834

e-mail: Thompson.pam@prc.gov